

On the Relation β_{ss}^* in Module Theory

Modül Teoride β_{ss}^* Bağıntısının Temel Özellikleri

Fatih Gömleksiz 

Amasya University, Institute of Science, Department of Mathematics, Amasya, Türkiye

Burcu Nişancı Türkmen* 

Amasya University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, Amasya, Türkiye

* Corresponding author: burcu.turkmen@amasya.edu.tr

Geliş Tarihi / Received: 24.05.2023
Kabul Tarihi / Accepted: 02.09.2023

Araştırma Makalesi/Research Article
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.8416074

ABSTRACT

In this study, some features of the Goldie ss-lifting modules concept and generalizations of this module class are given with the help of the relation β_{ss}^* defined in the article (Gömleksiz & Nişancı Türkmen, 2023). In this relation $X\beta_{ss}^*Y$, which is defined as submodules X and Y of the module H provided that $\frac{X+Y}{X} \subseteq \frac{Soc_s(H)+X}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \subseteq \frac{Soc_s(H)+Y}{Y}$ is determined by conditions. The important properties of this relation in Goldie-ss-lifting modules have been studied. In this article, Goldie-ss-supplemented modules are considered as a special case of Goldie*-supplemented modules and Goldie-ss-lifting modules are considered as a special case of Goldie*-lifting modules, and the basic module structure theorems are included with the help of the relation β_{ss}^* , which is more special than the relation β^* . The classification of the ss-semi-local modules was made using the relation β_{ss}^* . It has been proved that the factor modules of Goldie-ss-supplemented modules are also Goldie-ss-supplemented modules. It has been shown that $S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H)$, which is the set of equivalence classes according to the relation β_{ss}^* for submodules of a module H , has a monoid structure. With the help of fully invariant submodules, it has been shown that every direct summand of a Goldie-ss-supplemented module is a Goldie-ss-supplemented module. In addition, ss-supplemented modules and Goldie-ss-supplemented modules classes, and ss-lifting modules and Goldie-ss-lifting modules classes were compared.

Keywords: The Relation β_{ss}^* , Goldie-ss-Lifting Module, Goldie-ss-Supplemented Module

ÖZET

Bu çalışmada, Goldie ss-yükseltilebilir modüller kavramının birtakım özellikleri ve bu modül sınıfının genellemeleri (Gömleksiz ve Nişancı Türkmen, 2023) adlı makalede tanımlanan β_{ss}^* bağıntısı yardımıyla verilmiştir. Bu bağıntıda $X\beta_{ss}^*Y$ olarak tanımlanan H modülünün X ve Y alt modülleri için $\frac{X+Y}{X} \subseteq \frac{Des_s(H)+X}{X}$ ve $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \subseteq \frac{Des_s(H)+Y}{Y}$ koşulları ile belirlenmiştir. Bu bağıntının Goldie-ss-yükseltilebilir modüllerdeki önemli özellikleri incelenmiştir. Bu makalede, Goldie-ss-tümlemiş modüller Goldie*-tümlemiş modüllerin bir özel hali ve Goldie-ss-yükseltilebilir modülleri de Goldie*-yükseltilebilir modüllerin bir özel hali olarak ele alınarak β^* bağıntısından daha özel olan β_{ss}^* bağıntısı yardımıyla temel modül yapı teoremlerine yer verilmiştir. Ss-yarıyerel modüllerin β_{ss}^* bağıntısı kullanılarak sınıflandırılması yapılmıştır. Goldie-ss-tümlemiş modüllerin bölüm modüllerinin de Goldie-ss-tümlemiş modüller olduğu ispatlanmıştır. Bir H modülünün alt modülleri için β_{ss}^* bağıntısına göre denklik sınıflarının kümesi olan $S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H)$ inin bir monoid yapısına sahip olduğu gösterilmiştir. Karakteristik alt modüller yardımıyla Goldie-ss-tümlemiş bir modülün her direkt toplam teriminin Goldie-ss-tümlemiş modül olduğu gösterilmiştir.

Ayrıca ss-tümlenmiş modüller ile Goldie-ss-tümlenmiş modüllerin sınıfları, ve ss-yükseltilebilir modüller ile Goldie-ss-yükseltilebilir modüllerin sınıfları mukayese edilmiştir.

Anahtar kelimeler: β_{ss}^* bağıntısı, Goldie-ss-Yükseltilebilir Modül, Goldie-ss-Tümlenmiş Modül

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, R denotes as an associative ring with unit element 1_R , and the modules situated unitary left R -modules. For a module H , we use $N \leq H$ to denote N is a submodule of H . $Rad(H)$ will denote the Jacobson radical of H . A submodule $K \subseteq H$ is said to be *small* in H (denoted by the $K \ll H$) if $N + K \neq H$ for any proper submodule N of H . Lifting modules and variations were studied by many authors (see (Clark et. al., 2006), (Eryılmaz, 2021)). Following (Clark et. al., 2006, we call a module H *lifting* if for each $N \subseteq H$ there is a direct summand K of H so that $K \subseteq N$ and $N/K \ll H/K$. A module M is said to be *supplemented* if for each $N \subseteq H$, there is $K \subseteq H$ so that $H = N + K$ and $N \cap K \ll K$ (in this stage K is a supplement of N in H). A module H is said to be *semilocal* if for every submodule N of H , there is a $L \subseteq H$ such that $N + L$ is equal to H and $N \cap L \ll H$. According to (Eryılmaz, 2020), a module H is said to be *ss-lifting* if for each $N \subseteq H$ there is a direct summand K of H such that $K \subseteq N$ and $N/K \subseteq Soc_s(H/K)$, where $Soc_s(X)$ is the sum of all simple submodules which are small in a module X . A module H is said to be *ss-supplemented* if its submodules have an ss-supplement in H . Let $K, L \leq H$. K is said to be a (*weak*) *ss-supplement* of L in H provided that $N + K = H$ and $N \cap K \subseteq Soc_s(H)$ (Kaynar et.al., 2020). A module H is said to be *ss-semilocal* if its submodules have a weak ss-supplement in H (Olgun & Türkmen, 2020).

By $S(H)$ we denote the set of all submodules of a module H . Then $X, Y \in S(H)$ over the set $S(H)$ relation β^* is defined as follows. " $X\beta^*Y \Leftrightarrow (X + Y)/Y \ll H/Y$ and $(X + Y)/X \ll H/X$ ". Let H be a module. If for each submodule $X \subseteq H$ $X\beta^*Y$ thus, if a direct summand D of H can be found, then the module H , Goldie*-lifting. Let H be a module. If for each $X \subseteq H$ submodule there will be a supplement S of X such that $X\beta^*S$, then H is said to be *Goldie*-supplemented*.

In (Gömleksiz & Nişancı Türkmen, 2023), it is defined Goldie-ss-supplemented and Goldie-ss-lifting modules based on the definition of the relation β_{ss}^* . Let $X, Y \subseteq H$ be modules. It is said to be X and Y are equivalent by the relation β_{ss}^* , $X\beta_{ss}^*Y$ providing the conditions the $\frac{X+Y}{X} \subseteq \frac{Soc_s(H)+X}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \subseteq \frac{Soc_s(H)+Y}{Y}$ for X and Y submodules taken in the set of submodules of H . A module H is said to be *Goldie-ss-supplemented* if for each $\subseteq H$, there is a submodule S of H with $N\beta_{ss}^*S$, where S is an ss-supplement in H . A module H is called *Goldie-ss-lifting* (resp., (P_{ss}^*)) if for any $N \subseteq H$, there exists an ss-supplement submodule (a direct summand) D of H so that $N\beta_{ss}^*D$ (resp., $\frac{N}{D} \subseteq Soc_s\left(\frac{H}{D}\right)$, $D \leq N$). Clearly every (P_{ss}^*) -module is Goldie-ss-lifting and every Goldie-ss-lifting module is Goldie-ss-supplemented.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this section, the main features of the relation β_{ss}^* that we will give in the main part of our study will be given by quoting from the reference (Gömleksiz & Nişancı Türkmen, 2023).

Theorem 2.1. Let H be a module and $X, Y \subseteq H$. In this case, the following expressions are equivalent.

(1) $X\beta_{ss}^*Y$,

(2) There are equations $X + A = H$ and $Y + A = H$ for each submodule of the module H satisfying the condition $X + Y + A$,

(3) Let X and Y be semisimple submodules of H be given, $Y + K = H$ for every $K \subseteq H$ satisfying the conditions the sum $X + K$ is H . Also the sum $X + Z$ is H for every $Z \subseteq H$ satisfying the condition $Y + Z = H$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $X\beta_{ss}^*Y$. Let's take any submodule A of H with $X + Y + A = H$. It follows from $X + Y + A = H$ that $(X + Y)/Y + (A + Y)/Y$ is H/Y . By the hypothesis, $(X + Y)/Y \subseteq Soc_s(H/Y)$. So we get $Y + A = H$ is found. Similarly, it can be shown that $X + A = H$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let's take any submodule $K \subseteq H$ satisfying the condition $X + K = H$. Then $X + K + Y = H$ can be written and $Y + K = H$ is found from the hypothesis. Similarly let's take any submodule $L \subseteq H$ that satisfies the condition $Y + L = H$. Here $X + L + Y = H$ can be written and again $X + L = H$ is found from the hypothesis.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Let's take any submodule A of H that satisfies the condition $X + Y + A = H$. If $X + (Y + A) = H$ is taken as (3) $Y + (Y + A) = H$ can be written. From here, the result is $Y + A = H$. If the roles of X and Y are changed in the steps, it can be shown that $X + A = H$ similarly.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) It follows from $(X + Y)/Y \subseteq Soc_s(H/Y)$ and $(X + Y)/X \subseteq Soc_s(H/X)$ that $(X + Y)/Y \ll H/Y$ and $(X + Y)/X \ll H/X$. Since submodules X and Y are semisimple, factor modules $(X + Y)/Y$, $(X + Y)/X$ are semisimple by 8.1.5. Corollary 2 in (Kasch, 1982). Let's take the submodule $B/Y \subseteq H/Y$ with $(X + Y)/Y + B/Y = H/Y$. In this case $X + Y + B = H$ and since $Y \subseteq B$, we have the sum $X + B$ is H . From hypothesis, the sum $Y + B$ is H . As $Y \subseteq B$, we obtain that $B = H$. Thus, the result $(X + Y)/Y \ll H/Y$ is reached. It can also be shown to be $(X + Y)/X \ll H/X$ with similar operations. Thus $(X + Y)/Y \subseteq Soc_s(H/Y)$ and $(X + Y)/X \subseteq Soc_s(H/X)$ are obtained.

Example 2.2. (i) Two modules isomorphic to each other in any S -module β_{ss}^* may not be equivalent according to the relation. For example, $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in F \right\}$, where F is field. Let's take ${}_S S$. Then submodules $X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$ are isomorphic. But they are not equivalent according to the relation β_{ss}^* .

(ii) Given ${}_Z \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$ and $\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ are also isomorphic to each other. On the other hand, they are not equivalent according to the relation β_{ss}^* .

Corollary 2.3. The set of small semisimple submodules in a module H form a unique equivalence class according to the relation β_{ss}^* .

Theorem 2.4. Let $X, Y \subseteq H$ be modules. If $X\beta_{ss}^*Y$, then submodules X and Y of H are ss-supplement of each other.

Proof. Suppose the submodule $U \subseteq H$ is an ss-supplement of X . Since $X\beta_{ss}^*Y$, then $Y + U = H$ according to Theorem 2.1. It can be shown that the submodule $U \subseteq H$ is an bir ss-supplement of Y , let's take a submodule $V \subseteq U$ with $Y + V = H$. In this case, since $X\beta_{ss}^*$, then $X + V = H$ according to Theorem 2.1. From here, since the submodule U is an ss-supplement of X and $V \subseteq U$, then we have $X \cap U$ is semisimple by 8.1.5. Corollary 1 in (Kasch, 1982). It follows that $X \cap V$ is semisimple.

Therefore the submodule U is also an ss-supplement of Y . Also since the relation β_{ss}^* is symmetric, similarly the ss-supplemented of Y is also the ss-supplemented of X .

Theorem 2.5. Let X and Y be semisimple submodules of a module H with $J \subseteq Soc_s(H)$. Then $X\beta_{ss}^*Y \Leftrightarrow X\beta_{ss}^*(Y + J)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) : Let's assume that $X\beta_{ss}^*Y$. Let's take any submodule $K \subseteq M$ satisfying $H = X + (Y + J) + K$. Since $H = X + (Y + J) + K$ and $J \subseteq Soc_s(H)$, the equality $H = X + Y + K$ is obtained. Consider that $H = X + Y + K$ and $X\beta_{ss}^*Y$ are obtained Theorem 2.1. $H = X + K$ and $H = Y + K$ are obtained. From here, the result of $H = X + K$ and $H = (Y + J) + K$ is reached. Again, according to Theorem 2.1., $X\beta_{ss}^*(Y + J)$ is found.

(\Leftarrow) : Let's assume that $X\beta_{ss}^*(Y + J)$. Let's take any submodule $K \subseteq H$ satisfying $H = X + Y + K$. In this case $H = X + (Y + J) + K$ can be written. Also, since $X\beta_{ss}^*(Y + J)$ according to Theorem 2.1. $H = X + K$ and $H = (Y + J) + K$ are found. By acception $J \subseteq Soc_s(H)$, the result is $H = Y + K$. Then $X\beta_{ss}^*Y$ is obtained according to Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.6. Let H be a module with $Soc_s(H) = \{0\}$. If there is a decomposition $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$ for the submodule H_1 of H and the semisimple submodule H_2 of H , then $X \subseteq H$ and $X\beta_{ss}^*H_1$ with $H = H_2 \oplus X$.

Proof. Let's assume is $X\beta_{ss}^*H_1$. From the equation $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$, the submodule H_2 is an ss-supplement of H_1 . Since $X\beta_{ss}^*H_1$, the semisimple submodule H_2 is also an ss-supplement of X according to Theorem 2.4. So $H = H_2 + X$ and $H_2 \cap X \ll M_2$ can be written. Since H_2 semisimple, so is $H_2 \cap X$. Hence is $H_2 \cap X \subseteq Soc_s(H)$. By the hypothesis $Soc_s(H) = \{0\}$, $H_2 \cap X \subseteq Soc_s(H) = \{0\}$ with $H = H_2 \oplus X$.

Theorem 2.7. Let S be a semisimple submodule of an R -module H and let I be an ideal of R . Then $IS\beta_{ss}^*I^nS$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. In addition, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, it satisfied that $I\beta_{ss}^*I^n$.

Proof. Let's apply the proof by induction on n so that $n = 1$. Then $IS\beta_{ss}^*IS$ is provided since β_{ss}^* is the reflexive relation. Let us now assume that the claim is true for $n > 1$. Suppose we take $B \subseteq H$ such that $IS/I^nS + B/I^nS = H/I^nS$. In this case $IS + B = H$. From here, there are the equations $I^2S + IB = IH, \dots, I^nS + I^{n-1}B = I^{n-1}H$. Using these equations, $H = IS + B \subseteq IH + B = I^2S + IB + B \subseteq I^2H + IB + B = I^3S + I^2B + IB + B \subseteq \dots \subseteq I^nS + I^{n-1}B + \dots + IB + B \subseteq I^nS + B \subseteq H$. Thus $B/I^nS = H/I^nS$. Then we have $(IS + I^nS)/I^nS \ll H/I^nS$ and $(IS + I^nS)/IS = \{0_{H/IS}\} \ll H/IS$. Also $(IS + I^nS)/I^nS$ is semisimple, since S is semisimple according to the (Kasch, 1982) in Corollary 3. So since $IS + I^nS/IS \subseteq Soc_s(H/IS)$ and $IS + I^nS/I^nS \subseteq Soc_s(H/I^nS)$ is $IS\beta_{ss}^*I^nS$.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proposition 3.1. Given a ss-semilocal module H . Then its semisimple submodule are equivalent to an ss-semilocal submodules of H by the relation β_{ss}^* .

Proof. Let H be an ss-semilocal module. Let's take any semisimple submodule X of H . Since H is ss-semilocal, there is a submodule $Z \subseteq H$ so that $X + Z = h$ and $X \cap Z \subseteq Soc_s(H)$. Here X is also a weak ss-supplement of Z . Also $X\beta_{ss}^*X$ can be written since the relation β_{ss}^* has reflexive property. As a result, every semisimple submodule of h is equivalent to an ss-semilocal submodule of H .

Lemma 3.2. (See (Gömleksiz & Nişancı Türkmen, 2023)) Let $X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq h$, such that $X_1\beta_{ss}^*Y_1$ and $X_2\beta_{ss}^*Y_2$. Then $(X_1 + X_2)\beta_{ss}^*(Y_1 + Y_2)$ and $(X_1 + Y_2)\beta_{ss}^*(Y_1 + X_2)$.

Proof. Suppose that $X_1\beta_{ss}^*Y_1$ and $X_2\beta_{ss}^*Y_2$. Then $X_1 + Y_1 \subseteq Soc_s(H) + X_1$, $X_1 + Y_1 \subseteq Soc_s(H) + Y_1$, $X_2 + Y_2 \subseteq Soc_s(H) + X_2$ and $X_2 + Y_2 \subseteq Soc_s(H) + Y_2$. Hence by using above inclusions, we can easily see that $(X_1 + X_2)\beta_{ss}^*(Y_1 + Y_2)$ and $(X_1 + Y_2)\beta_{ss}^*(Y_1 + X_2)$.

Corollary 3.3. Let H be a module. If a least one small semisimple submodule L of H which satisfies the equations $X + L = Z + L = X + Z$ for every ss-semilocal semisimple submodule X of H and a ss-semilocal Z of H , then $X\beta_{ss}^*(X + Z)$ and $Z\beta_{ss}^*(Z + X)$.

Proof. Let's assume that module H is ss-semilocal. By Proposition 3.1, a module H has a weak ss-supplement Z so that $\forall X \subseteq H$ is $X\beta_{ss}^*Z$ for the semisimple submodule. In this case, a submodule $W \subseteq H$ can be found such that $Z + W = H$ and $Z \cap W \subseteq Soc_s(H)$. According to Lemma 3.2, we have $X\beta_{ss}^*(X + Z)$ and $Z\beta_{ss}^*(Z + X)$.

Remark 3.4. In Lemma 3.2, the finite sum is extensible, but infinite sum cannot be extended. For example, let's take $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $H = \mathbb{Q}$. We know that $Soc_s(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{m}$. Here $\mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{m} \beta_{ss}^*\{0\}$, since $\mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{m} \subseteq Soc_s(\mathbb{Q})$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If Lemma 3.2 was satisfied in countable sums, then $\mathbb{Q} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{m} \beta_{ss}^*\{0\}$. This would introduce the contradiction $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq Soc_s(\mathbb{Q})$.

Definition 3.5. Let H be a module. According to the relation β_{ss}^* , the submodule of H let's denote the set of equivalence classes with $S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H)$. That is $S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H) = \{\bar{X}_{\beta_{ss}^*} | X \subseteq H\}$ where is $\bar{X}_{\beta_{ss}^*} = \{Y | X\beta_{ss}^*Y\}$.

Theorem 3.6. $S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H)$ has a monoid structure.

Proof. It is clear that a binary operation $+$: $S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H) \times S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H) \rightarrow S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H)$ is defined by $(\bar{X}_{\beta_{ss}^*}, \bar{Y}_{\beta_{ss}^*}) \in S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H) \times S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H)$ with $\bar{X}_{\beta_{ss}^*} + \bar{Y}_{\beta_{ss}^*} = (\overline{X + Y})_{\beta_{ss}^*}$. Then $+$ has the associative property. So $\bar{0}_{\beta_{ss}^*} \in S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H)$ is the unitary element according to this operation. So $S_{\beta_{ss}^*}(H)$ has a monoid structure.

Lemma 3.7. Given the decomposition of modules $K = A \oplus B$. For $X, S \subseteq A$, if $S\beta_{ss}^*X$ in K , then $S\beta_{ss}^*X$ in A .

Proof. Let's take a submodule $L \subseteq A$ so that $X + L = A$. In this case, $(X + L) \oplus B = K$. From here $X + (L \oplus B) = K$ can be written. According to Theorem 2.1 (1 \Leftrightarrow 3), since $S\beta_{ss}^*X$ in K , it can be written $S + (L \oplus B) = K$. Therefore, there exists the decomposition $(S + L) \oplus B = K$. And since $K = A \oplus B$, $S + L = A$ is found. Similarly, according to Theorem 2.1 (1 \Leftrightarrow 3), since $X + W = A$ will be in every submodule $W \subseteq A$ satisfying the equation $S + W = A$. Then we have $S\beta_{ss}^*X$ in A .

It follows from (Wisbauer, 1991) that a submodule W of H is said to be *fully invariant* if $g(W) \subseteq W$ for each $g \in End(H)$. Elements e_1, e_2 of a ring R is said to be *orthogonal idempotent* if $e_1^2 = 0_R, e_2^2 = 0_R$ and $e_1e_2 = 1_R$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $A = aH$, $B = bH$ and $H = A \oplus B$, where H is a module and the set $\{a, b\}$ is a collection of orthogonal idempotents of $End(H)$. Also, each submodule $X \subseteq H$ can be written as $X = aX + bX$ (especially, X is fully invariant). In this case, module H is Goldie-ss-supplemented iff modules A and B are Goldie-ss-supplemented.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let H module be Goldie-ss-supplemented. Let's first take an arbitrary submodule $X \subseteq H$. Since the module H is Goldie-ss-supplemented, there is an ss-supplemented submodule S in H such that $X\beta_{ss}^*S$. So there is a $L \subseteq H$ so that $H = S + L$, $S \cap L \subseteq Soc_S(L)$. Since $H = S + L$ and $X\beta_{ss}^*S$, then it is obvious that M is $X + L$. Since $L = aL + bL$, it follows the hypothesis that $X + aL + bL$ is H . Since it is known that $X + aL \subseteq A$ and $bL \subseteq B$, the equations $H = X + aL + B$ and $H = A + bL$ can be written. Also, since $H = A \oplus B$, then $A = X + aL$ and $B = bL$ are found. Again, since $B = bH$, $bS \subseteq bH = bL \subseteq L$ by the hypothesis. From here, $H = S + L = aS + bS + L = aS + L$. Since $aS \subseteq S$ and S is a supplement of L in H , we deduce that aS is S . Therefore, in the module H , $X\beta_{ss}^*aS$. $aS \subseteq A$, $X\beta_{ss}^*aS$ is satisfied in module A due to Lemma 3.7. On the other hand, it is clear that $A = aS + aL$. Here $(aS \cap aL) \ll S = aS$ by (Wisbauer, 1991). By (Kasch, 1982) in 8.1.5, a submodule $aS \cap aL$ is also semisimple. A semisimple submodule aS of A is also an ss-supplemented. Thus, A Goldie-ss-supplemented. Similarly, it can be shown that B is Goldie-ss-supplemented.

(\Leftarrow): It is shown that an arbitrary submodule U of the module H , with submodules A and B being Goldie-ss-supplemented. Let's assume that $U_1 = aU$ and $U_2 = bU$. Since $U_1 \subseteq A$ and A are Goldie-ss-supplemented, A has a ss-supplement submodule S_1 with $U_1\beta_{ss}^*S_1$. Then according to Theorem 2.1(1 \Leftrightarrow 3) there is submodule L_1 such that $L_1 \cap S_1$ is semisimple such that $L_1 + S_1 = A$ and $L_1 \cap S_1 \ll S_1$. Since B has a ss-supplement submodule S_2 so that $U_2\beta_{ss}^*S_2$ and $L_2 \subseteq B$ the submodule $L_2 + S_2 = B$ and $L_2 \cap S_2 \ll S_2$ and $L_2 \cap S_2$ semisimple. According to (Gömleksiz & Nişancı Türkmen, 2023) in Proposition 2.6, $U = (U_1 + U_2)\beta_{ss}^*(S_1 + S_2)$ can be written. Moreover, it can be shown that $H = S_1 + S_2 + L_1 + S_2$ and $(S_1 + S_2) \cap (L_1 + L_2)$ is equal to $(S_1 \cap L_1) + (S_2 \cap L_2)$. Also, since $L_1 \cap S_1$ is small in S_1 and $L_2 \cap S_2$ is small in S_2 . Then we have $(S_1 + S_2) \cap (L_1 + L_2) = (S_1 \cap L_1) + (S_2 \cap L_2) \ll (S_1 + S_2)$ by (Wisbauer, 1991). Since $S_1 \cap L_1$ and $S_2 \cap L_2$ are semisimple submodules, the submodule $(S_1 + S_2) \cap (L_1 + L_2)$ is also a semisimple submodule of $S_1 + S_2$ by (Kasch, 1982). So $S_1 + S_2$ is an ss-supplement of $L_1 + L_2$ in H as a result, H is Goldie-ss-supplemented.

Theorem 3.9. Let H be a Goldie-ss-supplemented module and $X \subseteq H$. Then H/X is Goldie-ss-supplemented.

Proof. Let's take an arbitrary submodule $N/X \subseteq H/X$ with $X \subseteq N \subseteq H$. Since $N \subseteq H$ and H are Goldie-ss-supplemented, H has an ss-supplement submodule S with $N\beta_{ss}^*S$. Let's take any submodule $L/X \subseteq H/X$ that satisfies the sum $N/X + L/X$ is H/X . In this case, there is the equality $N + L = H$ and since $N\beta_{ss}^*S$, then $S + L$ is equal to H according to Theorem 2.1(1 \Rightarrow 3). From here, the result $(S + X)/X + L/X = H/X$ is reached. Similarly, the equality $N/X + L/X = H/X$ is provided for each submodule $L/X \subseteq H/X$ providing the sum $(S + X)/X + L/X$ is H/X . It follows from (Gömleksiz & Nişancı Türkmen, 2023) in Proposition 3.1 that $N/X\beta_{ss}^*(S + X)/X$. Thus, $(S + X)/X$ is also an ss-supplement submodule N/X in the factor module H/X . Hence, the H/X is Goldie-ss-supplemented.

Lemma 3.10. Let H be a module and $L \subseteq U \subseteq H$. If the semisimple submodule U of H lifts onto the semisimple submodule L , then $U\beta_{ss}^*L$.

Proof. If U lifts onto the submodule L in M , for every $N \subseteq H$ that satisfies the equation $U + N$ is equal to H . Then the sum $L + N$ is H by (Clark et al., 2006). In addition, since $L \subseteq U$, it is obvious

that the sum $U + N$ is H for every $K \subseteq H$ which satisfies the sum $L + K$ is H . Hence by Theorem 2.1. $U\beta_{ss}^*L$ is obtained accordingly.

Corollary 3.11. Let H be an ss-lifting module. Then H is Goldie-ss-lifting.

Proof. Let H be ss-lifting. By the definition, there is a decomposition for every submodule A of H with $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$ such that $H_1 \subseteq A$ and $A \cap H_2 \subseteq Soc_s(H)$, from which $Soc_s(H) = Soc(H) \cap Rad(H)$. Since H is ss-lifting each submodule can be lifting on a direct summand. Therefore, each submodule of the module H is equivalent to a direct summand in accordance with Lemma 3.10. So the module H is Goldie-ss-lifting.

Theorem 3.12. Let H be an Goldie-ss-lifting module and X be a submodule of H . Then the factor module $\frac{H}{X}$ is Goldie-ss-lifting also if $\frac{X+K}{X}$ is the direct summand of $\frac{H}{X}$ for each direct summand K of H .

Proof. Let $\frac{N}{X} \leq \frac{H}{X}$. Since H is a Goldie-ss-lifting module, H has direct summand K with $N\beta_{ss}^*K$. Since $N\beta_{ss}^*K$, then $\frac{N+K}{K} \subseteq Soc_s\left(\frac{H}{K}\right)$ and $\frac{N+K}{N} \subseteq Soc_s\left(\frac{H}{N}\right)$. From here, $\frac{H}{\frac{N}{X}} \cong \frac{H}{N}$, $\frac{N+K+X}{\frac{N}{X}} = \frac{N+K+X}{N} = \frac{N+K+X}{N} = \frac{N+K+X}{N}$. $\frac{N+K+X}{\frac{N}{X}} = \frac{N+K+X}{N}$ and $\frac{N+K+X}{\frac{N}{X}} = \frac{N+K+X}{N}$. Since $\frac{N+K}{N}$ is small in $\frac{H}{N}$ and $\frac{N+K}{N}$ is semisimple, it is $\frac{N+K+X}{\frac{N}{X}} \subseteq Soc_s\left(\frac{H}{\frac{N}{X}}\right)$. Then $\frac{N+K}{K} \ll \frac{H}{K}$ and $\frac{N+K}{K}$ are semisimple, the factor modules of $\frac{N+K}{K}$, $\frac{N+K+X}{\frac{N}{X}} \ll \frac{H}{\frac{N}{X}}$ and $\frac{N+K+X}{\frac{N}{X}} \subseteq Soc_s\left(\frac{H}{\frac{N}{X}}\right)$ and $\frac{N+K+X}{\frac{N}{X}} \subseteq Soc_s\left(\frac{H}{\frac{N}{X}}\right)$ are according to the hypothesis, $\frac{H}{X}$ is a Goldie-ss-lifting module.

Corollary 3.13. Given a Goldie-ss-lifting module H .

- (i) If H is distributive, the factor module $\frac{H}{X}$ is Goldie-ss-lifting module for each $X \subseteq H$.
- (ii) Let $X \subseteq H$ and each element $e = e^2 \in End(M)$ satisfies the condition $e(X) \subseteq X$. Then the factor module $\frac{H}{X}$ is Goldie-ss-lifting.

Proof. (i) Since H is a Goldie-ss-lifting module, M has a direct summand D with $X\beta_{ss}^*D$. Since $X\beta_{ss}^*D$, it is $\frac{X+D}{D} \subseteq Soc_s\left(\frac{H}{D}\right)$ and $\frac{X+D}{X} \subseteq Soc_s\left(\frac{H}{X}\right)$. Here there is a submodule $D' \subseteq H$ with $H = D \oplus D'$. Then the equation $\frac{H}{X} = \frac{D+X}{X} + \frac{D'+X}{X}$ can be written. Since M is distributive, then $X = X + (D \cap D') = (X + D) \cap (X + D')$ is obtained. So the direct sum $\frac{(D+X)}{X} \oplus \frac{(D'+X)}{X}$ is $\frac{H}{X}$. For each direct summand D of H , $\frac{D+X}{X}$ is a direct summand of $\frac{H}{X}$. By Theorem 3.12, the factor module $\frac{H}{X}$ is Goldie-ss-lifting.

(ii) Let D be an arbitrary direct summand of H . Let's consider the canonical projection $e: H \rightarrow D$. In this case $e^2 = e \in End(H)$. Since $e(X) \subseteq X$ in the hypothesis, $e(X) = X \cap D$ is satisfies. Also, since D is a direct summand of H , we can write $H = D \oplus D'$ for some $D' \subseteq H$. That is, the equation $X = (X \cap D) \oplus (X \cap D')$ can be written. Then we have $\frac{D+X}{X} = \frac{[D \oplus (X \cap D')]}{X}$ and $\frac{(D'+X)}{X} = \frac{[D' \oplus (X \cap D)]}{X}$

are obtained. Therefore, $\frac{H}{X} = \frac{(D+X)}{X} + \frac{(D'+X)}{X} = \frac{[D \oplus (X \cap D')]}{X} + \frac{(D'+X)}{X}$ is found. It can be shown from $(D \oplus (X \cap D')) \cap (D' + X) = X$ that $\frac{H}{X} = \frac{[D \oplus (X \cap D')]}{X} \oplus \frac{(D'+X)}{X}$. By Theorem 3.12 the factor module $\frac{H}{X}$ is Goldie-ss-lifting, as required.

Proposition 3.14 Let H be a Goldie-ss-lifting module. Then H is a Goldie*-lifting module. If $Soc_s(H) \ll H$, then the converse holds.

Proof. Let H be a Goldie-ss-lifting module. $H = D \oplus D'$ so that $(N + D)/N \subseteq Soc_s(H/N)$ and $(N + D)/D \subseteq Soc_s(H/D)$ for any submodule N of H . Therefore $(N + D)/N \ll H/N$ and $(N + D)/D \ll H/D$. So H is a Goldie*-lifting module. Conversely, let $N \subseteq H$. By assumption, H has a decomposition $H = D \oplus D'$ so that $(N + D)/N \ll H/N$ and $(N + D)/D \ll H/D$. Then $H = D + D' = N + D'$ and $(N + D)/D \subseteq (Soc_s(H) + D)/D$. Let $\theta : (D + D')/D \rightarrow D'$, $\psi : D'/(N \cap D') \rightarrow (N + D')/N$ be isomorphisms and $f : D' \rightarrow D'/(N \cap D')$ be an epimorphism. Set $h = \psi f \theta$. By a similar argument to (Gömleksiz & Nişancı Türkmen, 2023) in Proposition 2.6, $(N + D)/N = h((N + D)/D)$. Since $(N + D)/D \subseteq (Soc_s(H) + D)/D$, we have $(N + D)/N \subseteq h(Soc_s(H) + D)/N$. Hence, H is a Goldie-ss-lifting module.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have considered the Goldie-ss-lifting modules as a specialized notion of Goldie*-lifting modules. In particular, it is obtained fundamental module structure theorems by the help of a relation β_{ss}^* that is more special than β^* .

REFERENCES

- Alkan, M. (2009). On τ - lifting Modules and τ - semiperfect Modules, *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, **33**, 117 – 130.
- Birkenmeier G.F., Mutlu, F.T. C Nebiyev, N Sokmez, A Tercan (2010). Glasgow Mathematical Journal **52 (A)**, 41-52.
- Clark, C., Lomp, C., Vanaja, N., Wisbauer, R., (2006). Lifting Modules. *Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel- Boston- Berlin*.
- Eryılmaz, F. (2021). SS-Lifting Modules and Rings, *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, **22 (2)**, 655–662.
- Gömleksiz, F. & Nişancı Türkmen, B. (2023). Goldie SS-supplemented Modules, *Montes Taurus J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **5 (1)**, 65–70.
- Kasch, F. (1982). *Modules and Rings*. Published for the London Mathematical Society by Academic Press Inc. (London) Ltd., 372.
- Kaynar, E., Çalışıcı, H. & Türkmen, E. (2020) SS- Supplemented Modules. *Communications Faculty of Sciences University of Ankara Series A1 Mathematics Statistics*, **69(1)**, 473- 485.
- Olgun, A. & Türkmen, E. (2020) On a Class of Perfect Rings, *Honam Mathematical Journal*, **42(3)**, 591-600.

-
- Talebi, Y., Hamzekolae A. R. M. & Tercan, A. (2014). Goldie-Rad-Supplemented Modules. *An. Şt.Univ. Ovidius Constanta* **22(3)**, 205-218.
- Wisbauer, R. (1991). *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*. Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia 600.
- Zhou, D.X. & Zhang, X.R. (2011). Small-Essential Submodules and Morita Duality, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, **35**, 1051-1062.