

CALCULATION OF FISSILE FUEL PRODUCTION IN SOME MINOR ACTINIDES BASED ON THORIUM

Mehtap DÜZ^{*} D

Malatya Turgut Özal University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Basic Medical Sciences

Gökmen ŞEKER 回

Malatya Metropolitan Municipality, Malatya, Turkey.

* Corresponding author: mehtap.duz@ozal.edu.tr

Geliş Tarihi / Received: 22.10.2021 Kabul Tarihi / Accepted:23.11.2021 Araştırma Makalesi/Research Article DOI: 10.38065/euroasiaorg.823

ABSTRACT

In this study, a hybrid reactor with fission fusion reaction was modeled. As a fluid in design; 10% $ThC_2 + 0.1-1\%$ $AmF_3 + 89.9-89\%$ $Li_{20}Sn_{80}$ and 10% $ThC_2 + 0.1-1\%$ $NpF_4 + 89.9-89\%$ $Li_{20}Sn_{80}$ molten salt was used. In the first liquid wall, second liquid wall and shield regions of the reactor, the fissile fuel production was calculated using the MCNPX-2.7.0. ENDF/B-VII.0 nuclear reaction cross section library was used for numerical calculations.

Keywords: Hybrid Reactor, Minor Actinide, Fissile Fuel

INTRODUCTION

About 11% of the world's electricity is produced by existing reactors in the world. In order to obtain the energy provided, approximately 8500 tons of fuel is consumed and 23 tons/MW of radioactive waste is generated, annually. The storage of such a large amount of radioactive waste and their long half-life is an important problem for human and environmental health. Therefore, a hybrid reactor has been designed to obtain energy, to process the trans-uranium elements in the fuel used and to reuse them as fuel, and to convert long-lived fission products into harmless forms. Hybrid reactor is a subcritical safe system in which fusion-fission reactions occur together (Günay, 2015a; Düz, 2021; Düz and İnal, 2020;IAEA, 2009; Doligez et al., 2017; Günay, 2014a; Günay, 2014b).

The hybrid reactor uses mostly abundant deuterium-tritium as fuel. As a result of the fusion reaction of this fuel, 3.5 MeV Helium and 14.1 MeV fusion neutrons are released. Fusion neutrons collide with the liquid wall. Thus, with the hybrid reactor, both energy, fuel and short-lived wastes are generated (Şahin, 2007; Nygren et al., 2004; Hill et al., 2009; Günay, 2013; Günay, 2014c; Günay and Bardakçı, 2017).

The reason for using carbon (graphite) in fuels (ThC₂) in this study; because it has reflective properties. The particles coming out of the plasma make an inelastic collision with the carbon and return to the core region. Thus, it reduces neutron leakage and increases neutron efficiency. Carbon; it is an element that has a high retardation rate, is resistant to radiation, has a low melting temperature, a high boiling temperature, and does not cause corrosion on the structural material.

In addition to energy production, nuclear reactors used today also generate nuclear (U, Pu, Am, Cm, Np). Minor actinides (MAs) are long half-life, radioactive and good energy sources. Because of these properties, consumption of MAs as fuel is important for the environment and human health. MAs are in mixture oxide (MOX) or fluoride compositions (OECD-NEA, 2013; IAEA, 2009; Lu et al., 2013; OECD-NEA, 2015; Van Rooijen et al., 2015; Dolan, 2017; Vigier et al., 2018). In this study, we used the minor actinidine fluoride components AmF₃, CmF₃ and NpF₄ as fuel in the designed reactor to reduce the amount of MA. AmF₃, CmF₃ and NpF₄; fast neutrons can undergo fission. Since fluorine (F) is also a reflector, it collides with the particles coming out of the plasma.

The aim of this study is to examine the fissile fuel production in relevant regions with mixture of selected fluids (ThC₂, AmF₃, CmF₃, NpF₄ and Li₂₀Sn₈₀) in different ratios in the designed hybrid



reactor. Three-dimensional design and calculations by using MCNPX-2.7.0 were made for temperature at 300 °K.

MATERIALS and METHODS

In this study was used APEX fusion technology as a hybrid reactor design. The liquid wall has been used to regulate the performance of the APEX hybrid reactor, energy transfer and tritium production (Christofilos, 1989; Günay, 2015b; Abdou et al., 2001; Abdou, 1999; Ünalan, 1998; Abdou, 2004; Şarer et al., 2007; Moir, 1997; Günay, 2014d). The large radius of hybrid reactor is 552 cm. The reactor is shaped like a torus and shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Representation of the APEX hybrid reactor used in calculations.

Li₂₀Sn₈₀ liquid layer; it is preferred because it significantly reduces the parameters that damage structural materials such as radiation and activation (Abdou et al., 1999; Abdou et al., 2005; Günay et al., 2011; Youssef and Abdou, 2000; Ying et al., 1999; Youssef et al., 2002; Günay, 2016). Beryllium was used as reflector.

MCNPX; it is a combination of MCNP and LAHET codes (Chadwick et al., 2006; Pelowitz, 2011). The latest version, MCNPX-2.7.0, was used in the study.

FISSILE FUEL PRODUCTION

The fuel material used for power generation in conventional nuclear reactors is fissile fuels. Fissile fuels are nuclei that can perform fission reactions with low-energy neutrons. These are 235 U, 233 U, 239 Pu. The isotopes of 235 U, 233 U, 239 Pu, which can fission with neutrons at low energies, are produced from 232 Th and 238 U. The 235 U isotope, which is used as a fuel in fission nuclear reactors, produces energy and new neutrons by generating high fission with thermal neutrons. Existing fission reactors use only a small fraction of uranium, as this fuel is very scarce in nature. The 238 U isotope, which is found in large quantities in nature, is converted to 239 Pu with a very low yield by the (n, γ) reaction. Depending on the type of reactor and its operation, some of the plutonium formed is burned and some is stored (Günay et al., 2011; Korkut and Hançerlioğulları, 2012; Şahin and Übeyli, 2005). 233 U fissile fuel is produced by 232 Th(n, γ) as the fuel raw material in the reactor.

RESULTS

In the study, ²³²Th fertili was used for the production of fissile fuel. The (n,γ) reaction is required for fissile fuel production from ²³²Th fertil. In this study; the fissile fuel production was calculated. Table 1 shows the production of ²³³U fissile fuel as a result of the (n,γ) reactions of ²³²Th fertil used in the designed reactor.

Table 1. Fissile fuel production (kg/year) obtained by using fluids in the relevant parts of the reactor.

| Heavy Metal Ratio | AmF ₃ | CmF ₃ | NpF4 |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| %0.1 | 3.99.10 ⁻⁰⁴ | 1.69.10 ⁻⁰³ | 4.28.10 ⁻⁰⁴ |
| %0.5 | 6.05.10 ⁻⁰⁴ | 7.12.10 ⁻⁰³ | 7.29.10 ⁻⁰⁴ |
| %1 | 8.64.10 ⁻⁰⁴ | 1.39.10 ⁻⁰² | 1.15.10 ⁻⁰³ |

It has been observed that the ratio of fissile fuel produced increases when the minor actinide ratio is increased and the molten salt ratio is decreased in the selected fluids. As a result, it was observed that the greatest increase in ²³³U fissile fuel production was in 10% ThC₂+ 1% CmF₃+ 89% Li₂₀Sn₈₀ fluid.

DISCUSSION and CONCLUSIONS

In this study, ²³³U fissile fuel was obtained in the first liquid wall, second liquid wall and armor regions where ²³²Th fertil was found. In selected fluids in the study, it was observed that the ratio of fissile fuel produced increased as the minor actinide fluoride ratio increased. The best increase was observed in CmF₃.

REFERENCES

Abdou MA., The APEX Team. (1999). Exploring Novel High Power Density Concepts for Attractive Fusion Systems. Fusion Eng. Des., 45: 145-167.

Abdou MA., et al. (1999). APEX Interim Report: Chapter 1: Overview. 1-17.

Abdou MA., et al. (2001). On The Exploration of Innovative Concepts for Fusion Chamber Technology. Fusion Eng. Des., 54: 181-247.

Abdou MA. (2004). Preface. Fusion Eng. Des., 72: 1-2.

Abdou M. A., et al. (2005). U.S.Plansand Strategy for ITER Blanket Testing. Fusion Sci. Technol., 47: 475-487.

Christofilos NC. (1989). Design for A High Power-Density Astron Reactor. J. Fusion Energy, 8: 97-105.

Chadwick MB., et al. (2006). ENDF/B-VII.0: Next Generation Evaluated Nuclear Data Library for Nuclear Science and Technology. Nucl. Data Sheets, 107(12): 2931-3060.

Dolan TJ (ed.). (2017). Molten salt reactors and thorium energy, Woodhead Publishing Series in Energy, Karlsruhe.

Doligez X., et al. (2017). Fundamentals of reactor physics with a view to the (possible) futures of nuclear energy. C.R. Phys., 18: 372–380.



Düz M., İnal S. (2020). The effect of different fuels and clads on neutronic calculations in a boiling water reactor using the Monte Carlo method. Sci. Rep., 10: 22114.

Düz M. (2021). Neutronic Calculations for The Certain Americium Mixed Fuels and Clads in a Boiling Water Reactor. Front. Energy Res., 9: 639416.

Günay M., et al. (2011). Three-Dimensional Neutronic Calculations for a Fusion Breeder APEX Reactor using some Libraries. Ann. Nucl. Energy, 38: 2757-2761.

Günay M. (2013). Investigation of neutronic effects in structural material of a hybrid reactor by using the MCNPX Monte Carlo transport code. Kerntechnik, 78(3): 198-203.

Günay M. (2014). The production of ${}^{238-242}$ Pu(n, γ) ${}^{239-243}$ Pu fissionable fluids in a fusion-fission hybrid reactor. Kerntechnik, 79(1): 58-62.

Günay M. (2014). Neutron flux investigation on certain alternative fluids in a hybrid system by using MCNPX Monte Carlo transport code. Kerntechnik, 79(2): 145-149.

Günay M. (2014). Investigation of (n,γ) reactions in fissionable fluids in a hybrid reactor system. Kerntechnik, 79(3): 200-208.

Günay M. (2014). Investigation of (n,γ) reaction in hybrid reactor zones. Kerntechnik, 79(6): 473-477.

Günay M. (2015). Neutronic performance calculations with alternative fluids in a hybrid reactor by using the Monte Carlo method. Kerntechnik, 80(1): 45-52.

Günay M. (2015). The neutronic calculations for some fluids, libraries and structural materials in a hybrid reactor system. Kerntechnik, 80(5): 449-453.

Günay M. (2016). Contributions of Each Isotope in Structural Material on Radiation Damage in a Hybrid Reactor. EPJ Web of Conferences, 128: 02004.

Günay M., Bardakçı H. (2017). The Calculation of Neutron Flux Using Monte Carlo Method. EPJ Web of Conferences, 154: 01025.

Hill B., et al. (2009). Research Needs for Fusion-Fission Hybrid, Gaithersburg, Maryland.

IAEA. (2009). Status of minor actinide fuel development, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna.

Korkut T., Hançerlioğulları A. (2012). The Evalition of ReactorPerformance by using Flibe and Flinabe Molten Salts in the APEX Hybrid Reactor. J. Fusion Energy, 31:151–159.

Lu Y, et al. (2013). Electronic, mechanical, and thermodynamic properties of americium dioxide. J. Nucl. Mater., 441: 411-420.

Moir RW. (1997). Liquid First Walls For Magnetic Fusion Energy Configurations. Nucl. Fusion, 37: 557-566.

Nygren RE., et al. (2004). A Fusion Reactor Design With A Liquid First Walland Divertor. Fusion Eng. Des., 72: 181-221.

OECD-NEA. (2013). Minor Actinide Burning in Thermal Reactors, OECD, Paris.

OECD-NEA. (2015). State-of-the-Art Report on Multi-scale Modelling of Nuclear Fuels, OECD, Paris.

Pelowitz DB. (2011). MCNPX User's Manual, Version 2.7.0, Los Alamos National Laboratory.

Şahin S., Übeyli M. (2005). Radiation Damage Studies on The First Wall of a HYLIFE-II Type Fusion Breeder. Energy Convers. Manag., 46: 3185-3201.

Şahin HM. (2007). Monte Carlo Calculation of Radiation Damage in First Wall of An Experimental Hybrid Reactor. Ann. Nucl. Energy, 34: 861-870.



Şarer B., et al. (2007). Three—Dimensional Neutronic Calculations For The Fusion Breeder Apex Reactor, Fusion Sci. Technol., 52: 107-115.

Ünalan S. (1998). Rejuvenation of The LWR Spent Fuel in (D-T) Driven Hybrid Reacors. Fusion Eng. Des., 38: 393-416.

Van Rooijen WFGE, et al. (2015). Criticality uncertainty dependence on nuclear data library in fast molten salt reactors. Energy Proced., 71: 3-13.

Vigier JF., et al. (2018). Optimization of uranium-doped americium oxide synthesis for space application. Inorg. Chem., 57: 4317–4327.

Ying A., et al. (1999). APEX Interim Report: Chapter 5: Thick Liquid Blanket Concept.

Youssef MZ., Abdou MA. (2000). Heat Deposition, Damage and Tritium Breeding Characteristics in Thick Liquid Wall Blanket Concepts. Fusion Eng. Des., 49-50: 719-725.

Youssef MZ., et al. (2002). The Breeding Potential of "Flinabe" and Comparison to "Flibe" in "CLiFF" High Power Density Concept. Fusion Eng. Des., 61-62: 497-503.